LAW OFFICES OF BOBBI C. STERNHEIM

212-243-1100 • Main 917-306-6666 • Cell 888-587-4737 • Fax 33 West 19th Street - 4th Floor New York, New York 10011 bc@sternheimlaw.com

April 28, 2021

Honorable Alison J. Nathan United States District Judge United States Courthouse 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007

Re: *United States v. Ghislaine Maxwell* S2 20 Cr. 330 (AJN)

Dear Judge Nathan:

The MDC letter, dated April 28, 2021, submitted in response to the Court's memoendorsed order to show cause (Dkt. 249), fails to address the concerns raised in my April 26th letter. The Court should summarily deny the MDC's request and require it to respond to the specifics in the Court's order.

The claim that "[d]uring Ms. Maxwell's legal visit, staff noted she was in receipt of documents that were handed to her by the attorneys" does not justify the confiscation and review of Ms. Maxwell's confidential legal documents. Any documents which "Ms. Maxwell was in receipt" were documents that Ms. Maxwell brought to the visiting room. Counsel did not hand to Ms. Maxwell any documents that did not originate from Ms. Maxwell. Further, it was after Ms. Maxwell called counsel to report that her documents were seized and counsel, in turn, informed Ms. Maxwell that a complaint would be made that MDC staff contacted legal counsel.

Once again, the Court is provided second-hand information from the MDC. Ms. Papapetru was not present during the legal visit, does not claim to have reviewed the video recording of the legal visit, and failed to provide any helpful or reliable information (either by way of an affidavit or declaration or a copy of the recording) to rebut the claims before the Court. A recycled reporting of the guards' inaccurate observation is an inadequate response to a significant problem. The MDC has failed to offer any legitimate, fact-based explanation for violation of Ms. Maxwell's 6th Amendment rights. The Court should disregard the MDC's response and order the relief requested on behalf of Ms. Maxwell.

Considering the MDC's attempt to cast the conduct of its staff in a positive light while casting aspersions on Ms. Maxwell and counsel, we request that the Court direct that the guards responsible for the inappropriate seizure be summoned to Court and a copy of the video recording be provided to defense counsel.

LAW OFFICES OF BOBBI C. STERNHEIM

The MDC should be held accountable for its violation of Ms. Maxwell's constitutional rights, her privilege of attorney-client confidentiality, and her right to protection of her confidential legal defense materials.

Very truly yours,

Bobbi C. Sternheim

BOBBI C. STERNHEIM

cc: All counsel of record MDC Legal Staff Sophia Papapetru, Esq. Nicole McFarland, Esq. John E. Wallace, Esq.